

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		_		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/308,223	08/12/1999	GEORG KALLMEYER	P8341-9011	5876
7	590 11/06/2002			
Arent Fox Kir	ntner Plotkin & Kahn P	EXAMINER		
Richard J Berman Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue N W Washington, DC 20036-5339			NICKOL, GARY B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	0.0
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/308,223	KALLMEYER ET AL.
navicely neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2002 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	d to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendmer Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a it which places the application in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(afee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the place fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH (1). The date on which the petition under period of extension and the corresponded to the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ling amount of the fee. The appropriate extension or reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appearance Ap		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see I	Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without c	anceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s)	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reque application in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13 and 15-36</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	tement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)
10. Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation of an "amino acid sugar" to claim 36 would require further considerations (and or searches) under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, new matter as the suggested amendment includes new parameters for consideration. Furthermore, th limitation of a lyophilizate that "contains no polyethylene glycols or additional proteins" changes the scope of the invention which would require further seaches and considerations. It further appears that this limitation would invoke a rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph (new matter) as the disclosure does not suggest nor contemplate the specific exclusion of polyetheylene glycols or additional proteins.

ANTINCAY C. CAPUTA
SUPERINGORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNISLOGY CENTER 1850